

Capacity Achieving Codes From Randomness Conductors

Mahdi Cheraghchi
EPFL, Switzerland

Abstract—We give a general framework for construction of small ensembles of capacity achieving linear codes for a wide range of (not necessarily memoryless) discrete symmetric channels, and in particular, the binary erasure and symmetric channels. The main tool used in our constructions is the notion of randomness extractors and lossless condensers that are regarded as central tools in theoretical computer science. Same as random codes, the resulting ensembles preserve their capacity achieving properties under any change of basis. Our methods can potentially lead to polynomial-sized ensembles; however, using known explicit constructions of randomness conductors we obtain specific ensembles whose size is as small as quasipolynomial in the block length. By applying our construction to Justesen’s concatenation scheme (Justesen, 1972) we obtain explicit capacity achieving codes for BEC (resp., BSC) with almost linear time encoding and almost linear time (resp., quadratic time) decoding and exponentially small error probability. The explicit code for BEC is defined and capacity achieving for every block length, a property lacked in previously known explicit constructions.

I. INTRODUCTION

One of the basic goals of coding theory is coming up with efficient constructions of error-correcting codes that allow reliable transmission of information over discrete communications channels. Already in the seminal work of Shannon [1], the notion of *channel capacity* was introduced which is a characteristic of the communications channel that determines the maximum rate at which reliable transmission of information (i.e., with vanishing error probability) is possible. However, Shannon’s result did not focus on the *feasibility* of the underlying code and mainly concerned with the existence of reliable, albeit possibly complex, coding schemes. Here feasibility can refer to a combination of several criteria, including: succinct description of the code and its efficient computability, the existence of an efficient encoder and an efficient decoder, the error probability, and the set of message lengths for which the code is defined.

Besides heuristic attempts, there is a large body of rigorous work in the literature on coding theory with the aim of designing feasible capacity approaching codes for various discrete channels, most notably, the natural and fundamental cases of the binary erasure channel (BEC) and binary symmetric channel (BSC). Some notable examples in “modern coding” include Turbo codes and sparse graph codes (e.g., LDPC codes and Fountain codes, cf. [2], [3], [4]). These classes of codes are either known or strongly believed to contain capacity achieving ensembles for the erasure and symmetric channels. While such

codes are very appealing both theoretically and practically, and are in particular designed with efficient decoding in mind, in this area there still is a considerable gap between what we can prove and what is evidenced by practical results, mainly due to complex combinatorial structure of the code constructions. Moreover, almost all known code constructions in this area involve a considerable amount of randomness, which makes them prone to a possibility of design failure (e.g., choosing an “unfortunate” degree sequence for an LDPC code). While the chance of such possibilities is typically small, in general there is no known efficient way to certify whether a particular outcome of the code construction is satisfactory. Thus, it is desirable to come up with constructions of provably capacity achieving code families that are explicit, i.e., are efficient and do not involve any randomness.

Explicit construction of capacity achieving codes was considered as early as the classic work of Forney [5], who showed that concatenated codes can achieve the capacity of various memoryless channels. In this construction, an outer MDS code is concatenated with an inner code with small block length that can be found in reasonable time by a brute force search. An important subsequent work by Justesen [6] (that was originally aimed for explicit construction of asymptotically good codes) shows that it is possible to eliminate the brute force search by varying the inner code used for encoding different symbols of the outer encoding, provided that the ensemble of inner codes contains a large fraction of capacity achieving codes.

Very recently, Arikan [7] gave a framework for deterministic construction of capacity achieving codes for discrete memoryless channels (DMCs) with binary input that are equipped with efficient encoders and decoders and attain slightly worse than exponentially small error probability. These codes are defined for every block length that is a power of two, which might be considered a restrictive requirement. Moreover, the construction is currently explicit (in the sense of polynomial-time computability of the code description) only for the special case of BEC and requires exponential time otherwise.

In this work, we revisit the concatenation scheme of Justesen and give new constructions of the underlying ensemble of the inner codes. The code ensemble used in Justesen’s original construction is attributed to Wozencraft. Other ensembles that are known to be useful in this scheme include the ensemble of Goppa codes and shortened cyclic codes (see [8], Chapter 12). The number of codes in these ensembles is exponential in the block length and they achieve exponentially small error probability. These ensembles are also known to achieve the

Gilbert-Varshamov bound, and owe their capacity achieving properties to the fact that each nonzero vector belongs to a small number of the codes in the ensemble.

As our main result, we give a general framework for designing novel capacity achieving ensembles of small size, and in doing so we use fundamental tools from theoretical computer science that make our techniques radically different from the aforementioned results. In particular, we will use *randomness extractors* and *lossless condensers* (that belong to a broader family of objects collectively known as *randomness conductors*) as the main tools in our constructions. The quality of the underlying conductor determines the quality of the resulting code ensemble. In particular, the size of the code ensemble, the decoding error and proximity to the channel capacity are determined by the *seed length*, the *error*, and the *output length* of the conductor being used.

We will present our code ensembles in Section II and show their capacity achieving properties for BEC. In Section III, we will show that the ensemble obtained from lossless condensers can also achieve the capacity of BSC. In fact, we show that the same ensemble can simultaneously achieve the capacity of a much broader range of channels that do not necessarily need to be memoryless. Roughly speaking, the same ensemble is shown to be capacity achieving for any symmetric channel with arbitrarily distributed additive noise, and any erasure channel with an arbitrarily distributed erasure pattern. Moreover, we observe that our code ensembles preserve their capacity achieving properties under any change of basis.

As a concrete example, we instantiate our construction with appropriate choices of the underlying conductor and obtain, for every block length n , a capacity achieving ensemble of size 2^n that attains exponentially small error probability for both erasure and symmetric channels (as well as the broader range of channels described above), and an ensemble of quasipolynomial size $2^{O(\log^3 n)}$ that attains the capacity of BEC. Using certain optimal conductors that require logarithmic seed lengths, it is in principle possible to obtain polynomially small capacity achieving ensembles for any block length, though to this date no explicit construction of such conductors is known.

Finally, in Section IV, we apply our constructions to Justesen's concatenation scheme to obtain an explicit construction of capacity-achieving codes for both BEC and BSC that attain exponentially small error, as in the original construction of Forney. Moreover, the running time of the encoder is almost linear in the block length, and decoding takes almost linear time for BEC and almost quadratic time for BSC. Using our quasipolynomial-sized ensemble as the inner code, we are able to construct, for the first time, a fully explicit code for BEC that is defined and capacity achieving for *every* choice of the message length.

A. Preliminaries

The *min-entropy* of a distribution $\mathcal{X}$ with finite support S (in symbols, $S := \text{supp}(\mathcal{X})$) is given by $\min_{x \in S} \{-\log \Pr_{\mathcal{X}}(x)\}$, where $\Pr_{\mathcal{X}}(x)$ is the probability that $\mathcal{X}$ assigns to x . For *flat* distributions, i.e., those uniformly distributed on their

support, this quantity coincides with the Shannon-entropy. The *statistical distance* of two distributions $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ defined on the same finite space S is given by $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s \in S} |\Pr_{\mathcal{X}}(s) - \Pr_{\mathcal{Y}}(s)|$, which is half the ℓ_1 distance of the two distributions when regarded as vectors of probabilities over S . Two distributions $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ are said to be ϵ -close if their statistical distance is at most ϵ . We will use the shorthand $\mathcal{U}_n$ for the uniform distribution on $\mathbb{F}_2^n$, $\mathcal{U}_{n,p}$ ($0 \leq p \leq 1$) for the uniform distribution on all the vectors in $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ with Hamming weight at most pn , and $X \sim \mathcal{X}$ for a random variable X drawn from a distribution $\mathcal{X}$. A function $f: \mathbb{F}_2^n \times \mathbb{F}_2^d \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^r$ is a (strong) $m \rightarrow_{\epsilon} m'$ *condenser* if for every distribution $\mathcal{X}$ on $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ with min-entropy at least m , random variable $X \sim \mathcal{X}$ and a seed $Y \sim \mathcal{U}_d$, the distribution of $(Y, f(X, Y))$ is ϵ -close to a distribution $(\mathcal{U}_d, \mathcal{Z})$ with min-entropy at least $d + m'$. The parameters ϵ , m , $m - m'$, and $r - m'$ are called the *error*, the *entropy requirement*, the *entropy loss* and the *overhead* of the condenser, respectively. A condenser with zero entropy loss is called *lossless*, and a condenser with zero overhead is called a *strong* (m, ϵ) -*extractor*. A condenser is *explicit* if it is polynomial-time computable and *linear* if it is a linear function in its first argument.

II. CODES FOR THE BINARY ERASURE CHANNEL

Any code with minimum distance d can tolerate up to $d - 1$ erasures in the *worst case*, i.e., any pattern of up to $d - 1$ erasures can be uniquely recovered regardless of the sent codeword. Thus one way to ensure reliable communication over $\text{BEC}(p)$ is to use binary codes with relative minimum distance of about p . However, known negative bounds on the rate-distance trade-off (e.g., the MRRW bound, see [9]) do not allow the rate of such codes to approach the capacity $1 - p$. However, by imposing the weaker requirement that *most* of the erasure patterns should be recoverable, it is possible to attain the capacity with a positive, but small, error probability. In this section we consider a different relaxation that preserves the worst-case guarantee on the erasure patterns; namely we consider *ensembles* of linear codes with the property that *any* pattern of up to p erasures must be tolerable by all but a negligible fraction of the codes in the ensemble. This in particular allows us to construct ensembles in which all but a negligible fraction of the codes are capacity achieving for BEC. Note that as we are only considering linear codes, recoverability of an erasure pattern $S \subseteq [n]$ where n is the block length, i.e., the ability to uniquely reconstruct a transmitted codeword x when the set of coordinates of x determined by S is erased, is a property of the code and independent of x .

In this section we introduce two constructions, which employ strong, linear extractors and lossless condensers as their main ingredients. Throughout the section we denote by $f: \mathbb{F}_2^n \times \mathbb{F}_2^d \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^r$ a strong, linear, lossless condenser for min-entropy m and error ϵ and by $g: \mathbb{F}_2^n \times \mathbb{F}_2^{d'} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^k$ a strong, linear extractor for min-entropy $n - m$ and error ϵ' . We assume that the errors ϵ and ϵ' are much smaller than 1. Using this notation, we define the ensembles as follows:

Ensemble $\mathcal{F}$: We define a code $\mathcal{C}_y$ for each seed $y \in \mathbb{F}_2^d$ as follows: Let H_y denote the $r \times n$ matrix that defines the linear function $f(\cdot, y)$, i.e., for each $x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$, $H_y \cdot x = f(x, y)$. Then H_y is a parity check matrix for $\mathcal{C}_y$.

Ensemble $\mathcal{G}$: We define a code $\mathcal{C}'_y$ for each seed $y \in \mathbb{F}_2^{d'}$ as follows: Let G_y denote the $k \times n$ matrix that defines the linear function $g(\cdot, y)$. Then G_y is a generator matrix for $\mathcal{C}'_y$.

Obviously, the rate of each code in $\mathcal{F}$ is at least $1 - r/n$. Moreover, as g is a strong extractor we can assume wlog that the rank of each G_y is exactly k . Thus, each code in $\mathcal{G}$ has rate k/n . The following lemma is our main tool in quantifying the erasure decoding capabilities of the two ensembles:

Lemma 1: Let $S \subseteq [n]$ be a set of size at most m . Then all but a $\sqrt{\epsilon}$ fraction of the codes in $\mathcal{F}$ and all but a $\sqrt{\epsilon'}$ fraction of those in $\mathcal{G}$ can tolerate the erasure pattern defined by S .

Proof: We prove the result for the ensemble $\mathcal{G}$. The argument for $\mathcal{F}$ is similar. Consider a probability distribution $\mathcal{S}$ on $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ that is uniform on the coordinates specified by $\bar{S} := [n] \setminus S$ and fixed to zeros elsewhere. Thus the min-entropy of $\mathcal{S}$ is $n - m$, and the distribution $(Y, g(\mathcal{S}, Y))$, where $Y \sim \mathcal{U}_{d'}$, is ϵ' -close to $\mathcal{U}_{d'+k}$. By an averaging argument, for all but a $\sqrt{\epsilon'}$ fraction of the choices of $y \in \mathbb{F}_2^{d'}$, the distribution of $g(\mathcal{S}, y)$ is $\sqrt{\epsilon'}$ -close to $\mathcal{U}_k$. Fix such a y . In fact, since the support of $\mathcal{S}$ is a linear subspace of $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ and the function $g(\cdot, y)$ is linear, the distribution of $g(\mathcal{S}, y)$ must be exactly uniform. Thus, the $k \times m$ submatrix of G_y consisting of the columns picked by $\bar{S}$ must have rank k , which implies that for every $x \in \mathbb{F}_2^k$, the projection of the encoding $x \cdot G_y$ to the coordinates chosen by $\bar{S}$ uniquely identifies x . ■

The lemma combined with a double averaging argument implies the following corollary:

Corollary 2: Let $\mathcal{S}$ be any distribution on the subsets of $[n]$ of size at most m . Then all but an $\epsilon^{1/4}$ (resp., $\epsilon'^{1/4}$) fraction of the codes in $\mathcal{F}$ (resp., $\mathcal{G}$) can tolerate erasure patterns sampled from $\mathcal{S}$ with probability at least $1 - \epsilon^{1/4}$ (resp., $1 - \epsilon'^{1/4}$).

Note that the result holds irrespective of the distribution $\mathcal{S}$, contrary to the familiar case of $\text{BEC}(p)$ for which the erasure pattern has an iid distribution. For the case of $\text{BEC}(p)$, the erasure pattern (regarded as its binary characteristic vector in $\mathbb{F}_2^n$) is given by $S := (S_1, \dots, S_n)$, where the random variables $S_1, \dots, S_n \in \mathbb{F}_2$ are iid and $\Pr[S_i = 1] = p$. We denote this particular distribution by $\mathcal{B}_{n,p}$, which assigns a nonzero probability to every vector in $\mathbb{F}_2^n$. Thus in this case we cannot directly apply Corollary 2. However, note that $\mathcal{B}_{n,p}$ can be written as a convex combination

$$\mathcal{B}_{n,p} = (1 - \gamma)\mathcal{U}_{n,p'} + \gamma\mathcal{D}, \quad (1)$$

for $p' := p + \Omega(1)$ that is arbitrarily close to p , where $\mathcal{D}$ is an “error distribution” whose contribution γ is exponentially small. The distribution $\mathcal{U}_{n,p'}$ is only supported on vectors of weight at most np' , for which the above result applies by setting $m = np'$. Moreover, by the convex combination above, the erasure decoding error probability of any code for erasure pattern distributions $\mathcal{B}_{n,p}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{n,p'}$ differ by no more than γ . Therefore, the above result applied to the erasure distribution

$\mathcal{U}_{n,p'}$ handles the particular case of $\text{BEC}(p)$ with essentially no change in the error probability.

In light of Corollary 2, in order to obtain rates arbitrarily close to the channel capacity, the output lengths of f and g must be sufficiently close to the entropy requirement m . More precisely, it suffices to have $r \leq (1 + \alpha)m$ and $k \geq (1 - \alpha)m$ for arbitrarily small constant $\alpha > 0$. The seed length of f and g determine the size of the code ensemble. Moreover, the error of the extractor and condenser determine the erasure error probability of the resulting code ensemble. As achieving the channel capacity is the most important concern for us, we will need to instantiate f (resp., g) with a linear, strong, lossless condenser (resp., extractor) whose output length is close to m . We mention one such instantiation for each function.

For both function f and g , we can use the following straightforward generalization of the well-known *leftover hash lemma* [10]:

Lemma 3: Let $\varphi: \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ be an arbitrary isomorphism between the vector space $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ and the extension field $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$. Define $f: \mathbb{F}_2^n \times \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^r$, where $r := m + 2\log(1/\epsilon)$, and $g: \mathbb{F}_2^n \times \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^k$, where $k := m - 2\log(1/\epsilon)$, as follows: For $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$, let $z(x, y) := \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x) \cdot \varphi(y))$. Then $f(x, y)$ (resp., $g(x, y)$) is the projection of $z(x, y)$ onto its first r (resp., k) coordinates. The function f (resp., g) is a linear, strong, lossless condenser (resp., extractor) for all min-entropies of up to m (resp., at least m).

The above construction is optimal in the output length, but requires a large seed, namely, $d = n$. Thus the resulting ensemble will have size 2^n , but attains a positive error exponent $\delta/2$ for an arbitrary rate loss $\delta > 0$. Using an optimal lossless condenser or extractor with seed length $d = \log(n) + O(\log(1/\epsilon))$ and output length close to m , it is possible to obtain a polynomially small capacity-achieving ensemble; however, to this date no explicit construction of a linear condenser or extractor with this property is known. In the world of linear extractors, an important construction due to Trevisan [11] gets rather close to the optimal seed length. Here we mention an improvement of this result due to Raz et al. [12]:

Theorem 4: [12] For all positive integers n, m and $\epsilon > 0$, there is an explicit strong linear seeded (m, ϵ) -extractor $g: \mathbb{F}_2^n \times \mathbb{F}_2^d \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^k$ with $d = O(\log^3(n/\epsilon))$ and $k = m - O(d)$.

As a concrete result, we combine this theorem with Corollary 2 and the discussion above to obtain the following:

Corollary 5: Let $p, c > 0$ be arbitrary constants. Then for every integer $n > 0$, there is a constructible ensemble $\mathcal{G}$ of linear codes of rate $1 - p - o(1)$ such that, the size of $\mathcal{G}$ is quasipolynomial, i.e., $|\mathcal{G}| = 2^{O(c^3 \log^3 n)}$, and, all but an $n^{-c} = o(1)$ fraction of the codes in the ensemble have error probability at most n^{-c} when used over $\text{BEC}(p)$.

One can also use Lemma 3 instead and obtain a larger ensemble of size 2^n but with an exponentially small error probability and exponentially small fraction of “bad” codes.

III. CODES FOR THE BINARY SYMMETRIC CHANNEL

The goal of this section is to design capacity achieving code ensembles for the binary symmetric channel $\text{BSC}(p)$. As it turns out, our result applies to more sophisticated symmetric channels that do not need to be memoryless. Thus, we first introduce a generalization of the standard notion of BSC to binary and symmetric channels with arbitrarily distributed additive binary noise.

For an integer $n > 0$, let $\mathcal{Z}$ be a probability distribution on $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$. Consider a DMSC $\mathcal{C}$ with input and output alphabet $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ that maps $X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ to $X + Z$, where $Z \sim \mathcal{Z}$ is an independent channel noise. Then each use of $\mathcal{C}$ can be naturally regarded as n binary channel uses, resulting in a binary channel that we denote by $\text{BSC}(\mathcal{Z})$. The special case $\text{BSC}(p)$ is obtained by setting $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{B}_{n,p}$. It is easy to see that the capacity of $\text{BSC}(\mathcal{Z})$ is at most $1 - h(\mathcal{Z})$, where $h(\mathcal{Z})$ is the entropy rate of $\mathcal{Z}$.

In this section we extend our framework for construction of small ensembles of linear codes that *combinatorially* achieve this capacity (i.e., under ML decoding) when $\mathcal{Z}$ is a flat distribution, and in particular use it to obtain capacity achieving codes for $\text{BSC}(p)$. The code ensemble that we use for the symmetric channel is the ensemble $\mathcal{F}$ that we introduced in the preceding section. Thus, we adopt the notation that we used before for defining the ensemble $\mathcal{F}$. Recall that each code in the ensemble has rate at least $1 - r/n$. Moreover, for each $y \in \mathbb{F}_2^d$, denote by $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{C}_y, \mathcal{Z})$ the error probability of the ML decoder for code $\mathcal{C}_y$ over $\text{BSC}(\mathcal{Z})$. The following lemma quantifies this probability:

Lemma 6: Let $\mathcal{Z}$ be a flat distribution with entropy m . Then for at least a $1 - 2\sqrt{\epsilon}$ fraction of the choices of $y \in \mathbb{F}_2^d$, we have $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{C}_y, \mathcal{Z}) \leq \sqrt{\epsilon}$.

Proof: Let $X \sim \mathcal{Z}$, $Y \sim \mathcal{U}_d$, and define $F := f(X, Y)$. Thus, the distribution of (Y, F) is ϵ -close to $(\mathcal{U}_d, \mathcal{D})$, where $\mathcal{D}$ has min-entropy at least m . For $y \in \mathbb{F}_2^d$ and $s \in \mathbb{F}_2^r$, Define $N(y, s) := |\{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n : f(x, y) = s\}|$. Thus, $\Pr[F = s | Y = y] = N(y, s)/2^m$. Using this notation, we can write

$$\sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_2^d} \sum_{s \in \text{supp}(F)} |N(y, s) - 2^m \Pr_{\mathcal{D}}(s)| \leq \epsilon 2^{m+d+1},$$

by the fact that $(Y, F) \sim_{\epsilon} (\mathcal{U}_d, \mathcal{D})$. As the min-entropy of $\mathcal{D}$ is at least m , $\Pr_{\mathcal{D}}(s)$ is always no more than 2^{-m} , thus the quantity inside the absolute value is always non-negative and we have

$$2^{-d} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_2^d} \sum_{s \in \text{supp}(F)} (N(y, s) - 1) \leq \epsilon 2^{m+1}. \quad (2)$$

We call a seed $y \in \mathbb{F}_2^d$ *good* if $\Pr[N(y, F) > 1 | Y = y] \leq \sqrt{\epsilon}$. Thus, the ML decoder will have error probability at most $\sqrt{\epsilon}$ for all choices of y that are good, in which case the probability of “syndrome confusion” is small. An averaging argument on (2) reveals that for all but a $2\sqrt{\epsilon}$ fraction of the choices of y , we have $(1 - \sqrt{\epsilon})2^m \leq |\text{supp}(F)| \leq 2^m$, which easily implies that $|\{x \in \text{supp}(\mathcal{Z}) : N(y, f(x, y)) > 1\}| \leq \sqrt{\epsilon} 2^m$.

Hence, any such y is good and defines a code $\mathcal{C}_y$ for which $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{C}_y, \mathcal{Z}) \leq \sqrt{\epsilon}$. ■

The lemma implies that any lossless condenser with entropy requirement m can be used to construct an ensemble of codes such that all but a small fraction of the codes are good for reliable transmission over $\text{BSC}(\mathcal{Z})$, where $\mathcal{Z}$ is an arbitrary flat distribution with entropy at most m . Similar to the case of BEC, the seed length determines the size of the ensemble, the error of the condenser bounds the error probability of the decoder, and the output length determines the proximity of the rate to the capacity of the channel. Again, using the condenser given by Lemma 3, we can obtain a capacity achieving ensemble of size 2^n .

It is not hard to see that the converse of the above result is also true; namely, that any ensemble of linear codes that is universally capacity achieving with respect to any noise distribution with a particular entropy defines a strong linear, lossless, condenser. Thus the known lower bounds on the seed length and the output length of lossless condensers [13] translate into lower bounds on the size of the code ensemble and proximity to the capacity. In particular, in order to get a positive error exponent, the size of the ensemble must be exponentially large.

We also point out that the code ensembles $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ discussed in this and the preceding section preserve their erasure and error correcting properties under any change of basis in the ambient space $\mathbb{F}_2^n$, due to the fact that a change of basis applied on any linear condenser results in a linear condenser with the same parameters. This is an property achieved by the trivial, but large, ensemble of codes defined by the set of all $r \times n$ parity check matrices. Note that no single code can be universal in this sense, and it is inevitable to have a sufficiently large ensemble to attain this property.

For the special case of $\text{BSC}(p)$, the noise distribution $\mathcal{B}_{n,p}$ is not a flat distribution. However, we can again use the convex combination (1) and note that the distribution $\mathcal{U}_{n,p'}$ is flat, with entropy $m = n(h(p') + o(1))$. Thus, the capacity of $\text{BSC}(\mathcal{U}_{n,p'})$ and $\text{BSC}(p)$ are the same, up to a negligible term. Moreover, by the convex combination, the error probability of any code over these two channels differs by no more than γ . Therefore, in order to achieve the capacity of $\text{BSC}(p)$ it is sufficient to achieve the capacity of $\text{BSC}(\mathcal{U}_{n,p})$ instead, which is covered by our result above.

IV. EXPLICIT CAPACITY ACHIEVING CODES

In this section we apply our construction of capacity achieving ensembles to Justesen’s concatenation scheme and obtain an explicit construction of capacity achieving codes. Our analysis closely follows the original analysis of Forney, with only minor modifications.

Suppose that $\mathcal{S}$ is an ensemble of linear codes with block length n and rate R , for which it is guaranteed that all but a $o(1)$ fraction of the codes are capacity achieving (for a particular DMSC, in our case either $\text{BEC}(p)$ or $\text{BSC}(p)$) with some vanishing (i.e., $o(1)$) error probability. As an outer code we use an expander-based construction of asymptotically

good codes due to Spielman [14] which is summarized in the following theorem:

Theorem 7: For every integer $k > 0$ and every absolute constant $R' < 1$, there is an explicit family of $\mathbb{F}_2$ -linear codes over $\mathbb{F}_{2^k}$ for every block length and rate R' that is error-correcting for an $\Omega(1)$ fraction of errors. The running time of the encoder and the decoder is linear in the bit-length of the codewords.

For every $n > 0$, we use the above code with block length $|S|$, alphabet size determined by setting $k = \lfloor Rn \rfloor$, and rate R' close to 1, as the outer code and encode the i th symbol of each codeword using the i th code in the ensemble $\mathcal{S}$. The resulting binary concatenated code $\mathcal{C}$ will have rate RR' , that can be made arbitrarily close to R by choosing R' appropriately, and block length $N = n|S|$. Moreover, the running time of the encoder is $O(nN)$ by Theorem 7. For decoding, we use a naive encoder that applies an ML decoder to the inner codes followed by the outer decoder¹ given by Theorem 7. The running time of a trivial ML decoder for the inner code is polynomial in n for erasure decoding, but exponential in presence of errors. Thus, the decoder for the concatenated code will have running time $O(n^2N)$ over BEC and $O(n^2 2^{Rn}N)$ over BSC. As a concrete example, when $|S| = 2^n$, we get a decoding running time of $\tilde{O}(N)$ for BEC and $\tilde{O}(N^2)$ for BSC.

The error probability of the concatenated code can be analyzed in the same way as in [5]; here we reproduce a crude analysis for completeness: Consider communication over BSC(p) (the analysis for BEC(p) is similar), and assume that the inner ensemble is capacity achieving for this channel with rate R that is slightly below the capacity, and that R' is chosen sufficiently close to 1 so that $RR' = 1 - h(p) - \delta$, where $\delta > 0$ is an arbitrarily small constant. As all but a vanishing fraction of the codes in $\mathcal{S}$ attain a vanishing error probability over BSC(p), we expect that $|S|(1 - o(1))$ of the inner decoders succeed in recovering the correct transmitted sequence, and thus, that the sequence delivered to the outer decoder is corrupted in $o(1)$ fraction of the coordinates, a situation that can be handled by the outer decoder. By observing the fact that the channel is memoryless, it is easy to see (e.g., using Chernoff bounds) that the error probability (i.e., the probability that the outer decoder observes more corruptions than it can handle) is upper bounded by $2^{-\Omega(N)}$.

A drawback² of the concatenation scheme of Justesen that we used above is that the code $\mathcal{C}$ is defined for block lengths of the form $ns(n)$, where $s(n)$ is the size of the code ensemble $\mathcal{S}$ for the inner block length n , and thus the density of the set of the block lengths (and thus message lengths) for which the code is defined depends on the growth rate of $s(n)$. Obviously, one can extend the code construction in a trivial

¹ Alternatively, one can use a Reed-Solomon code as the outer code combined with GMD decoding, as in the original work of Forney, and obtain a slightly better error exponent. However, using Spielman's code results in a more efficient encoder and decoder for the concatenated code, that we find more favorable.

²We remark that the recent construction of Arıkan [7] also suffers from a similar restriction.

way to make it defined for every block length N by taking the largest block length $ns(n)$ in the original construction that is below the given N and padding the encoding by a sufficient amount of redundant symbols. However, this will decrease the rate of the original code by a multiplicative factor of about $s(n+1)/s(n)$. Thus, the code will lose its capacity achieving properties if this fraction is away from 1 by more than a constant, i.e., when the ensemble $\mathcal{S}$ is exponentially large. Therefore, in order to obtain an explicit capacity achieving code that is defined for every block length, it suffices to have a subexponential-sized capacity achieving ensemble. As mentioned in the introduction, all previously known ensembles applicable in concatenation schemes are exponentially large, whereas our framework is potentially capable of producing polynomial-sized ensembles. Indeed, the quasipolynomial-sized ensemble of Corollary 5 leads to an explicit erasure code that is capacity achieving for every length with almost linear time (i.e., $O(N^{1+o(1)})$) encoding and decoding.

Though we analyzed the concatenation scheme for the special case of BSC(p), we remark that the application of our conductor-based ensembles to Justesen's scheme leads to explicit codes that simultaneously achieve the capacity of a more general class of channels, due to the universality properties of the ensembles discussed in preceding sections. As an example, we mention a generalization of the BSC(p) where the individual bit flip probability of each channel use might vary but its average binary entropy is known, or similarly, a variation of BEC(p) with varying bit erasure probabilities.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to thank Amin Shokrollahi, Mohammad Mahmoody-Ghidary, and Venkatesan Guruswami for fruitful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Shannon, "A mathematical theory of communication," *Bell Syst. Tech. J.*, vol. 27, pp. 379–423 and 623–656, 1948.
- [2] T. Richardson and R. Urbanke, *Modern Coding Theory*. Cambridge Univ. Press, 2008.
- [3] R. Blahut, *Theory and Practice of Error Control Codes*. Addison-Wesley, 1983.
- [4] A. Shokrollahi, "Raptor codes," *IEEE Trans. Information Theory*, vol. 52, pp. 2551–2567, 2006.
- [5] G. Forney, *Concatenated Codes*. MIT Press, 1966.
- [6] J. Justesen, "A class of constructive asymptotically good algebraic codes," *IEEE Trans. Information Theory*, vol. 18, pp. 652–656, 1972.
- [7] E. Arıkan, "Channel polarization: A method for constructing capacity-achieving codes for symmetric binary-input memoryless channels," 2008, unpublished manuscript (arXiv: cs.IT/0807.3917).
- [8] R. Roth, *Introduction to Coding Theory*. Cambridge Univ. Press, 2006.
- [9] J. H. v. Lint, *Introduction to Coding Theory*. Springer, 1998.
- [10] R. Impagliazzo, L. Levin, and M. Luby, "Pseudorandom generation from one-way functions," in *Proc. 21st STOC*, 1989, pp. 12–24.
- [11] L. Trevisan, "Extractors and pseudorandom generators," *JACM*, vol. 48, no. 4, pp. 860–879, 2001.
- [12] R. Raz, O. Reingold, and S. Vadhan, "Extracting all the randomness and reducing the error in Trevisan's extractor," *JCSS*, vol. 65, no. 1, pp. 97–128, 2002.
- [13] M. Capalbo, O. Reingold, S. Vadhan, and A. Wigderson, "Randomness conductors and constant-degree expansion beyond the degree/2 barrier," in *Proc. 34th STOC*, 2002, pp. 659–668.
- [14] D. Spielman, "Linear-time encodable and decodable error-correcting codes," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Th.*, vol. 42, pp. 1723–1731, 1996.